1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CASBN 118321) United States Attorney	
2	MARK L. KROTOSKI (CASBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5 6 7 8	ROBERT DAVID REES (CASBN 229441) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7210 Fax: (415) 436-7234 Email: robert.rees@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 06 0066 MMC
14	Plaintiff,	 STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING HEARING DATE AND EXCLUDING TIME BETWEEN JULY 26, 2006 TO AUGUST 30, 2006 FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A))
15	v.	
16	SHAWN RUFFIN,	
17	Defendant.))
18	The mention appeared before the Count on Ivan 21, 2006. On that date the Count	
19 20	The parties appeared before the Court on June 21, 2006. On that date, the Court continued the proceedings to July 26, 2006 because counsel for the defendant, Mark Vermeulen,	
21	Esq., had just been appointed to the case and needed time to review the discovery. This order	
22	vacates the July 26, 2006 date and continues the next hearing date to August 30, 2006, and	
23	documents an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b) from July 26,	
24	2006 to August 30, 2006. The Court finds and holds, as follows:	
25	1. Defendant agrees that, due to defense counsel Mark Vermeulen, Esq.'s relatively	
26	recent appointment to the case, additional time is needed to review the discovery, interview	
27	potential witnesses, engage in other pretrial investigation, and to research additional potential	
28	motions in the case. Accordingly, a continua	ance and speedy trial exclusion is appropriate to
ı	.i	

Case 3:06-cr-00066-MMC Document 29 Filed 07/25/06 Page 2 of 2

1 provide effective preparation of counsel and for continuity of counsel. 2 2. Given these circumstances, the Court finds, with the consent of the defendant, that the ends of justice served by vacating the current status hearing date of July 26, 2006 and continuing 3 4 it to August 30, 2006, and excluding the period from July 26, 2006 to August 30, 2006 outweigh 5 the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. $\S 3161(h)(8)(A)$. 6 3. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court orders that the period 7 from July 26, 2006 to August 30, 2006 be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv). 8 4. The current status hearing date of July 26, 2006 is hereby vacated. The parties are 9 10 ordered to appear before this Court on Wednesday, August 30, 2006 at 2:30PM for a status 11 hearing. 12 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 13 14 15 DATED: July 25, 2006 MARK VERMEULEN, ESO. Attorney for Defendant 16 17 DATED: July 25, 2006 18 ROBERT DAVID REES Assistant United States Attorney 19 20 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 DATED: July 25, 2006 23 ted States District Judge 24 25 26 27 28